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**Abstract**

Growing Up Boulder – a child- and youth-friendly city initiative in Boulder, Colorado, USA – engaged 95 children, ages 4-17, in a planning process with the City of Boulder’s Open Space and Mountain Parks (OSMP) department. The goal was to understand current uses of the North Trail Study Area and to identify strategies to improve visitor experiences for the 7,700 acres being planned. This report describes specific methods of engagement, outcomes for the plan, and reflections on the process. Youth perspectives led to concrete changes to the plan and to organizational shifts within OSMP, for greater youth engagement in the future.
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Introduction

Growing Up Boulder (GUB) is a child- and youth-friendly city initiative established in 2009 as a formal partnership between the City of Boulder, Boulder Valley School District, and the University of Colorado’s Program in Environmental Design. The work of GUB is underpinned by the principles set forth in the United Nations Convention on the Rights of the Child (UNICEF, 2013), which establishes children’s rights to safe and clean environments, basic health services, education, places to gather and play, and to participation in community planning and decision-making (Chawla, 2002; Malone, 2006). Over the past seven years, GUB has supported children and youth participation in a variety of planning initiatives including neighborhood and public space planning, transportation planning, and park design.

In 2015, GUB began working with the City of Boulder’s Open Space and Mountain Parks (OSMP) to engage children and youth in their North Trail Study Area (North TSA) planning process. The North TSA encompasses 7,700 acres of diverse ecological landscapes, farming and ranching activities, and cultural resources from Boulder’s early inhabitants and settlers. The area provides popular recreation areas, such as Wonderland Lake (Figure 1), the Foothills Trail corridor, and Boulder Valley Ranch, as well as less visited locations (Figure 2) such as Lefthand and Hogback Ridge Trails. The overall purpose of the North TSA Plan is to describe strategies and actions that will improve visitor experiences and increase the physical and environmental sustainability of trails and visitor infrastructure while conserving natural, cultural, and agricultural resources. The process for developing the plan included data collection, identification of issues and interests, scenario development, and review of the draft plan by the community and governing bodies. GUB and OSMP saw this planning process as an opportunity to explore ways that youth engagement might support OSMP planning initiatives.

Figure 1. The North TSA, near Wonderland Lake. (Image Credit: Phillip Yates, OSMP)
In this paper, we present the methods and approach to our work and reflect on the impacts and value of engagement. In our reflections, we identify influences on youth, contributions to the plan itself, and internal shifts within OSMP for greater support of and longer term integration of child and youth engagement.

**Methods of Engagement**

For the North TSA planning project, GUB engaged children ages 4-17 in participatory activities held at one of the trailheads within the study area. Participants included 10 children (ages 4-5) from Boulder Journey School; 25 children (ages 3-17, approximately 20 families) in a Family Day event; and 60 Junior Rangers (ages 14-17) and 10 Crew Leaders employed by OSMP as part of their Junior Ranger Program. Our goals were to experiment with a variety of ages and approaches to engagement, working within existing GUB partnerships, as in the case of Boulder Journey School, or within the institutional structures of OSMP, as in the case of the Family Day and Junior Rangers. We tailored specific methods to the ages and format of engagement as follows.

**Boulder Journey School**

Based in the philosophy of Reggio Emilia, Boulder Journey School honors children’s own modes of expression and promotes children’s right to active citizenship. For many years, the Boulder Journey School (BJS) has been an active partner in engaging young children, ages 4-5, in GUB projects.

Over much of the 2014-2015 academic year, preschool students at BJS explored insects as a major theme of study. Students explored their outdoor playground, finding praying mantises, butterflies, and spiders. Children took field trips and
researched insect body parts. They applied this research to their design of costumes that mimicked insect body parts and colors, “so as not to scare the bugs” (Figure 3) (Derr, Chawla, & Pevec, 2017).

Figure 3. Children researched, designed, and wore bug costumes so that they would look more like them and potentially be less threatening to the bugs, as in this field trip to a city lake. (Image Credit: Tina Briggs) Pending Permission

In July of 2015, during the North TSA planning process, the preschool students visited part of the study area called Wonderland Lake to look for insects and learn insect biology from OSMP staff. At the end of the trip, OSMP and GUB staff facilitated a conversation with the BJS children, who shared what they liked and what they would improve at Wonderland Lake.

Family Day
GUB and OSMP staff organized a Family Day at Wonderland Lake to gather input about children and families’ interests or concerns in the North TSA. The event was planned in coordination with education staff from OSMP and BJS and was advertised through news media, GUB partner networks, a family nature network, and two neighborhood social media sites. Approximately 20 families with children aged 3-17, attended the Family Day. Some trail visitors also attended the event.

Interpretation staff from OSMP set up an outdoor station for visitors to learn about special natural features of the North TSA, including the spectacular wildlife of the area such as ring-tailed cats, Northern Harriers, mountain lions, threatened butterflies, and clam fossils - evidence of the ancient sea that once covered the area.

GUB and OSMP planning and Junior Ranger staff set up indoor stations for children and families to share their ideas for the North TSA plan. The “Draw your Vision” station provided a template with the prompt, “I would like to see ________ in the North TSA because ______________.” To help planners
formulate potential future changes in the study area, this exercise was meant to understand the resources, opportunities and activities that children might like to experience. In keeping with the community-wide planning process, the exercise was also intended to uncover the reasons why these changes were desired.

The “keep, change, or add” station provided maps of the North TSA with color-coded sticky dots to indicate what visitors would like to keep (green), change (red) or add (blue) to the area. Many comments came from parents or other adult visitors, but some also came from children and families together, with the facilitation of GUB and OSMP staff. Participants also could add additional comments about why they wanted to “keep, change, or add” features to the area.

**Open Space and Mountain Parks’ Junior Rangers**

The Junior Ranger Program employs teenagers, aged 14-17, for summer service on OSMP lands. This local youth corps has included teens in priority natural resource management projects since 1965. The youth corps curriculum joins service, learning and strong team environments to support individual and group achievement. Dialogue, consensus and motivation are key tools in the process. Through their service, Junior Rangers build an awareness and appreciation for open space and the process behind resource management issues and decision making. Junior Rangers often discover that environmental planning is rarely “black and white,” but instead requires thoughtful negotiations and compromises. Junior Rangers learn to honor each other’s voices, regardless of differences in opinion. Junior Rangers thus were well positioned to hear and reflect on multiple perspectives related to the North TSA planning process.

GUB worked with 2 Junior Ranger crews (one each in June and July) for a six-hour workshop. At the beginning of the workshop, GUB and OSMP staff introduced the planning process, the importance of children and youth participation in this process, and the methods we would use to discuss the North TSA plan. We used the photovoice method (Derr et al. 2013) to identify aspects of OSMP lands around Wonderland Lake that youth liked (green frames, Figure 4) or did not like (red frames, Figure 5), developed an ideal vision through facilitated drawing from the Co-Design method (King, Conley, Latimer, & Ferrari, 1989), and synthesized ideas into final recommendations. Each crew member then established their top priorities with three sticky dots per person.
Photovoice is a method developed by public health and urban planning disciplines to assess strengths or concerns about one’s community and to communicate these ideas both visually and verbally with policy makers, city leaders, or researchers (Derr et al. 2013). Junior Rangers walked around Wonderland Lake for about 40 minutes, took pictures with cameras and frames (Figure 6), and recorded on a field note sheet why they took each picture. Each group then shared their pictures on a projector and explained the significance of each image. A facilitator recorded the main ideas represented in each image,
placing check marks next to concepts that were repeated by more than one group.

![Figure 6. Junior Rangers implementing photovoice method (Image Credit: Victoria Derr)](image)

For the Co-Design method, Junior Rangers were asked to close their eyes and to visualize the following: “When Open Space is just the way you envision it should be, what does it look like? What would an ideal day look like for you in Open Space? What are you doing? What are you seeing? What do you smell or hear? Who are you with? Who else is sharing the space with you? Are there any plants or animals that you see?” For each session, a graphic facilitator trained in design illustrated the Junior Rangers’ ideas.

GUB then shared the outcomes of the workshop with three additional Junior Ranger Crews who responded to previous crew members’ ideas and developed their own recommendations. Workshops with these crews were about one hour each. Each crew member again chose their top three priorities for the North TSA.

**Results of Engagement**

Young people’s desires for The North TSA fell into four categories. These are described briefly here and in more detail elsewhere (GUB, 2015):

- Direct experience with nature. Children and youth of all ages spoke about a desire for more opportunities to interact with and access nature, such as through boardwalks, trails, or bridges. Children at the Family Day wanted increased access to nature for interactive and tactile experiences, so that they could view wildlife, play with sand, touch the water, get their feet wet, and peer into the shallows for tadpoles. Two three-year-olds described their desires this way: “I would like places to play in sand and water,” and “I would like to see more butterflies, because I like them and I like catching them.” Family Day visitors also said they would like better water access at specific locations in the North TSA that have creeks. In the visualization exercise, Junior Rangers described a range of desirable
activities, from hiking alone in remote areas, to “hammocking”\(^1\) with friends, or picnicking with family (Figure 7).

Figure 7. Visioning Drawing Showing Various “Ideal Days” in Open Space, from Remote Hiking to Biking and Hammocking (Illustration Credit: Deryn Wagner)

- Nature protection. Concerns about recreation and nature protection\(^2\) emerged from all groups. Preschool students expressed genuine concern about how insects might be stepped on or their habitats damaged by visitors to the North TSA: “We want to protect the bugs. . .” “And live with them!” Family Day visitors expressed a desire for greater protection of native plants in specific North TSA areas. Junior Rangers also expressed concern about nature protection, from recreational carrying capacity to off-leash dog policies. In the photovoice activity, one Junior Ranger stated, “there are too many people on the trails which makes them hard to walk on in this area.” In developing recommendations for off-leash dog policies, another Junior Ranger suggested that “there needs to be more education about the impacts of dogs on wildlife so people understand why policies are in place.”

\(^1\) Hammocking was a new term to the authors. When probed, Junior Rangers explained that hammocking involves a group of friends taking portable hammocks along on a hike: Young people hike to desirable resting places, hang their hammocks, listen to music, and relax with friends before moving on to the next site.

\(^2\) As a department, OSMP uses the terms “conservation” for open space planning and management. However, children and youth consistently used the word protection. To honor and most accurately reflect their ideas, we use the term “nature protection” here for young people’s interests. We use conservation and resource management when referring to open space goals.
• Nature interpretation and education. One of the most popular ideas among Junior Rangers was for a comprehensive map, similar to a ski resort map, that could be made available at trailheads\(^3\) for education about trails, natural history, safety awareness, and “sleuthing”.\(^4\) Junior Rangers were divided fairly evenly as to whether the map should be a paper, take-along map, or a digital application. Some felt that they already spent too much time with technology and others thought the digital form would save paper and allow for greater breadth and depth of natural history information.

• Broader city planning issues. In the engagement processes, children, youth, families, and teachers all spoke about aspects of the city beyond those solely for the North TSA or those administered by Open Space and Mountain Parks. These included a desire for housing colors and designs that were more consistent with the Wildland Urban Interface; safe road crossings and public transportation to the all trailheads (including and beyond the North TSA); sufficient car and bike parking at trailheads; and a desire for parks and playgrounds that are designed for teenagers.

**Planning Integration**

The process and results of the engagement activities were compiled into a final report that could be shared with all OSMP staff, board members, and the general public to increase communication about young people’s ideas and to inform the plan (GUB, 2015). The timing was such that OSMP staff were able to incorporate ideas into draft planning scenarios so that the Open Space Board of Trustees, the Boulder City Council and the wider public could consider the ideas of children and youth as they critiqued these scenarios. The draft plan identified a number of visitor improvements that came directly from young people in the community.

As the draft plan was developed, OSMP and GUB staff developed a letter to communicate back to children, youth, and families how their ideas were taken into account. This letter was mailed to all participants. The letter included a table with the headings: “Ideas from Young People” and “Translation into Draft Scenarios,” as in Table 1. The letter included a total of 11 distinct ideas from children and youth that were integrated into the draft scenarios.

Many of these ideas made their way into the final plan, which was approved by the Boulder City Council in June 2016. Many recommendations for improvements at Wonderland Lake came directly from young people and would likely not have been included in the plan in the absence of a robust youth engagement process (Figure 8). These physical and regulatory improvements

---

\(^3\) A trailhead is the location where a trail begins. A trailhead typically includes parking and signage with trail maps, educational materials, and any policies, wildlife warnings or restricted areas.

\(^4\) Sleuthing is a term used in nature education for a process in which children search for nature clues, as a detective might, as a way to learn more about the environment. Here Junior Rangers envisioned a take-along activity in which children would search for clues to learn about the North TSA and its natural or cultural history.
respond to the desire for more direct and tactile engagement with nature and a balance between recreation and nature protection.

Table 1. Excerpt from Letter Communicating How Young People’s Ideas were Considered

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ideas from Young People</th>
<th>Translation to Draft Scenarios</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| More opportunities for interaction with water, like enhanced lake access, sand play, boardwalks and clear trail access | All scenarios for Wonderland Lake would:  
  - Build a pier for fishing and wildlife viewing,  
  - Add two gathering areas in the shade by the waterfront, and  
  - Improve primary beach access on the peninsula.  
In addition, one scenario also considers enhancing access into the cattail marsh in Wonderland Lake, maybe with a floating pier.  
In all scenarios, water access would also be provided at the Fourmile Canyon Creek Bridge and Boulder Valley Ranch pond. |
Reflections on the Process

Reflections of Children and Youth

At the end of their workshops and in notes and newsletters, the Junior Rangers said that they appreciated the opportunity to have their voices heard and to feel that their voice is significant to city planners and leadership. One crew took a full newsletter page to collaboratively describe their reflections, as in the following excerpt:

. . . We felt honored that they came to us and gave us a chance to participate in the decision making process at such a young age . . . It was nice to have a day to think and reflect . . . while you knew you were contributing to *something real*. We learned how much time, detail, and effort goes into the planning process and took an active role discussing our recommendations for the North TSA . . . We felt like our voices were being heard (OSMP, 2015, p. 2, emphasis added).

These sentiments affirm previous participatory planning research (Chawla, 2002; Derr and Kovács, 2015): youth want to be heard and respected and they want to contribute to “something real.” From a planning perspective, it was also important that youth specifically spoke about the “time, detail, and effort” that goes into a planning process. Many city planners in Boulder have expressed that they wished that adults also had these opportunities, to increase productive and positive dialogue at community engagement events (Derr & Kovács, 2015). Finally, like youth in other participatory studies (e.g., Chawla, 2009; Hart, 2014), Junior Rangers also valued some of the intangible aspects of the process such as opportunities for dialogue with adults and each other, where facilitators are open to hearing from youth and see them as having something valuable to say (OSMP, 2015).

Reflections of OSMP Staff

Prior to this engagement process, some staff were unsure whether young people’s engagement would yield anything of value. However, young people’s realistic and practical suggestions helped OSMP staff and board members see that children and youth do have perspectives that can directly impact the planning process, which has led to shifts within OSMP. These shifts include changes in individuals’ perspectives about the value of children and youth engagement; changes in adult perspectives about young people’s attitudes toward open space conservation; and organizational shifts in how community and youth engagement will be structured in the future.

The Value of Young People’s Engagement

Many OSMP staff and board members valued that children and youth ideas concretely contributed to the planning process. Planners were able to include specific physical elements in the North TSA plan, such as shade structures and locations for creek access. They were also able to address young people’s desire for fishing, natural history education, and nature protection. Young people’s ideas also have generated future engagement plans for child-friendly interpretive exhibits, nature play, and community rangers.
Through the process, OSMP staff saw first-hand the value that young people can bring to planning processes. This was expressed in an internal presentation with digital slides developed by the Environmental Planner associated with the project. In response to the question “What did we learn about engaging Junior Rangers in planning?” she developed a bulleted list that included: “they care,” “they think expansively,” and “they can dialogue well.” Because this was an exploratory engagement process for OSMP, it is particularly significant that the slide ended with “[we learned that. . . ] we want to engage them more often!”

**Young People’s Values for Nature**

The engagement process also affirmed young people’s values for protection and stewardship of nature. The Junior Rangers were a self-selected group of young people, who through their choice of employment, have identified nature stewardship as important. However, these values were also shared by BJS children and the guests at Family Day. According to the OSMP Director, this outcome was significant:

This approach accomplished both engaging people new to the process and engaging youth in a conversation about the importance and challenges in managing natural lands (Winfree, 2016a).

The Director also reflected that adult participation was changed by the presence of youth. She saw important shifts in leading community members, who moved from a conflict-orientation5 to appreciation and hopefulness when youth were engaged. One board member had lamented prior to the GUB engagement process that “young people do not care about open space values,” but became much more positive upon hearing youth voices from the community.

**Longer Term Organizational Shifts**

According to the Junior Ranger Program Coordinator, the investment in the GUB-OSMP partnership has brought new vocabulary to OSMP staff. The Junior Ranger program had been operating successfully at OSMP for many years, but it has not previously had a relationship with planning processes: “The GUB engagement process brought a new opportunity to OSMP. Staff can see youth not only as part of the community, but also as valuable contributors to decision making. Our systems can and should include youth.” OSMP staff look forward to the contributions of youth and the Junior Ranger program. In 2016, Junior Rangers presented their ideas on service and stewardship at both departmental all-staff and Open Space Board of Trustee meetings. After the all-staff meeting, the Director of OSMP wrote to the Junior Ranger Program Coordinator that, “I have great hope for the future, as I see the leadership, work ethic, and service qualities emanating from these individuals” (Winfree, 2016b).

OSMP planners are building on these successes to incorporate youth engagement into an upcoming departmental master plan process. Engagement for the master plan may include youth-focused efforts such as focus groups, surveys and hands-on activities to understand how young people see the future

---

5 City planners often interact with community members who advocate for a single position; this can create perspectives that people within the community are conflict-rather than community-oriented, and in this case, had shaded community leaders’ perspectives about the community as a whole.
of their public lands. Not only do OSMP staff want to integrate young people into more of their planning processes, but they also want to consider how to include them in more than just the public engagement aspects of a planning process. For example, OSMP is exploring possibilities for more youth involvement in volunteer projects and other operations. They also want to consider more ways to communicate back to children and youth how their ideas have made a difference.

**Reflections from GUB and OSMP Facilitators**

The North TSA engagement process solidified the relationship between GUB and OSMP, fostered multiple modes of creative expression, and provided a structure for engagement that met partner needs, timelines and interests. Over many years, GUB has found these to be critical components of its outreach with children and youth.

In reflecting on our own process, we wish we could have provided more time with Junior Rangers to educate crew members about special features, issues and ecological concerns specific to the North TSA. Crew members expressed concern for conservation and preservation of open space, but there was not always enough time for in-depth dialogue or to build expertise and refine recommendations based on deepened knowledge. This is an important part of GUB’s process: recognizing young people’s expertise, helping to deepen their knowledge, and then providing opportunities to make and discuss recommendations.

Finally, GUB typically partners with schools or youth-serving organizations to bring perspectives from some of Boulder’s least heard children and youth, such as from lower income or Latino families (Derr, Chawla, Mintzer, Cushing, & Van Vliet, 2013). Because of the timing of engagement in this process, we did not have the means to accomplish this for the North TSA process. Future engagement might find ways to partner with existing OSMP work that targets this population as well.

Young people have the potential to transform participatory processes: young people in GUB’s projects have consistently demonstrated care – for inclusive spaces; for people of all ages, abilities, and ethnicities; and for the natural world (Derr et al., 2017; Derr & Tarantini, 2016; Derr et al., 2013). Young people’s thoughtful and caring attitudes inspire “hope for the future” for city planners and leadership, and a desire to support positive change. OSMP staff have come to see the potential of young people – their leadership, desire for service, and skills in communicating that are fostered through programs such as the OSMP Junior Rangers and Boulder Journey School. It has been GUB’s experience that “seeing is believing” (Mintzer & Cushing, 2016) in youth participation – a successful participatory process can help even skeptical leadership imagine a positive future, when seen through the eyes of young people.
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